Audit and Assurance Committee		
Date of Meeting Tuesday 13 th May 2025		
Subject	Internal Audit – Draft Annual Assurance Opinion	
Agenda No.	6	
Paper No.	31.5	
Purpose	Discuss	

1. Background

1.1. This report provides Internal Audit's draft Annual Assurance Opinion for 2024/25 along with details of the work undertaken during the year, the outcome for each piece of work and other key elements considered when determining our opinion on the Organisation's risk management, governance and internal control arrangements throughout the year.

2. Key points

- 2.1. We are providing a "**reasonable**" assurance marking on Social Security Scotland's risk management, governance and control arrangements.
- 2.2. Social Security Scotland engaged fully with Internal Audit throughout the year which enabled the successful delivery of the full audit plan during the year.
- 2.3. By the end of the year Internal Audit had undertaken 15 Assurance reviews, 12 Follow Ups and various pieces of Advisory work.
- 2.4. A final version of this report will be shared with members once all work for 2024/25 is concluded and all reports have been issued in final.

3. Conclusions

3.1. Members are invited to comment on the 2024-25 Annual Assurance Opinion.



Directorate for Internal Audit and Assurance

Annual Assurance Report

Social Security Scotland 2024-25



Directorate for Internal Audit and Assurance

Issue Date: 6-05-2025

Audit Personnel

Senior Internal Audit Manager:	[Redacted]
Internal Audit Manager:	[Redacted]

Report Distribution

Chief Executive (Accountable	Draft shared on 6 th May 2025
Officer)	
Audit and Assurance Committee	For information and comment on 13 th
Audit and Assurance Committee	May 2025

Contents

1. Annual Assurance Opinion 2024-255	
2. Introduction6	
3. Audit Activities7	
Annex A – Overarching Assurance Landscape12	
Annex B – Definition of Assurance Categories17	

1. Annual Assurance Opinion 2024-25

1.1. Our overall assurance opinion for last year is Reasonable.
Please see <u>Annex B</u> for definition of all Assurance Categories.

Reasonable Assurance

Controls are adequate but require improvement.

Some improvements are required to enhance the adequacy and effectiveness of procedures. There are weaknesses in the risk, governance and / or control procedures in place but not of a significant nature.

- 1.2. Social Security Scotland, over the past year has continued to mature and evolve as an organisation. During the year we have undertaken a range of assurance, advisory and follow up activities which has enabled us to independently assess the governance, risk management and internal control arrangements that are both established and those which are continuing to develop and mature. In 2023-24 management adapted its approach to governance and risk management and in 2024-25 we were able to evidence the further development and embedding of this.
- 1.3. The strategic risk register features a number of key risks for the organisation and through our work we were able to test and provide assurance in relation to the majority of these risks. In all cases we were able to identify a significant amount of good practice and where areas of improvement have been identified these have been understood. Whilst not all recommendations were accepted, management consciously opted to tolerate risks where this was deemed appropriate due to priorities and capacity to respond. We will continue to work with Social Security Scotland in relation to this approach to ensue management have effective arrangements in place for capturing such risks and managing until such time as action can be taken or risks are superseded.
- **1.4.** Management and officers have continued to positively engage with us and support us with our delivery of our work.
- 1.5. We continue to acknowledge in our work that in many cases weaknesses identified are as a result of the pace of delivery and the agile methodology which is being followed by Social Security Directorate, with initial launch being a minimum viable product that over time should then be developed and improved. Also, in many instances the weaknesses and

- resulting risk has already identified by Social Security Scotland and is reflected in the Single Prioritised Backlog.
- 1.6. During 2024-25 Social Security Scotland continued to ready itself for Programme Closure. However the overall approach to this changed. Transition from Social Security Programme was the initial approach, but in Autumn 2024 a pause was put on transition activities, with further focus put on determining the Future Operating Model of the organisation. Targeted assurance and advisory work on this is planned for 2025-26.
- 1.7. Whilst Transition is paused, it is essential that a continued focus on this and the risks this poses for Social Security Scotland, such as capacity, capability, financial implications, transfer of risk, requirement for ownership and continued development, etc. along with the need to demonstrate value for money; and the ability to deliver and continue to develop and improve the services within the current financial resources available remain a focus for management and the organisation.

2. Introduction

- 2.1. This report summarises Directorate for Internal Audit and Assurance (DIAA) work during the year and provides an overall assurance opinion on Social Security Scotland's risk management, control and governance arrangements in place. It's primary aim is to provide the Accountable Officer and the Audit and Assurance Committee with our professional opinion. External Audit colleagues may also take this opinion and the content of this report into consideration when carrying out their work. Also, this report will help form the basis of a general report on our work which will be considered, in due course by the Scottish Government Audit and Assurance Committee (SGAAC).
- 2.2. Our work carried out over the past year has been undertaken in accordance with UK Public Sector Internal Audit Standards (PSIAS), the Institute of Internal Auditors (IIA), International Professional Practices Framework (IPPF) and with the standards set out in the Scottish Public Finance Manual (SPFM). These standards require us to provide an objective option supported by sufficient, reliable and relevant evidence. We ensure this through the established IA client team's roles and responsibilities; i.e. Senior Internal Audit Manager acting as Head of Internal Audit and Internal Audit Manager, who are appropriately qualified and experienced to carry out the work.

- 2.3. To determine the overall assurance opinion we take a number of factors into account, not solely the audit activities undertaken throughout the year (see <u>Table 1</u> for more detail on this) but also the <u>overarching assurance landscape</u>. This includes any work undertaken in relation to shared services by other DIAA Internal Audit Teams.
- **2.4.** Reports and outputs have also been agreed by Senior Management and presented to the Audit and Assurance Committee throughout the year.
- 2.5. In order to develop an integrated approach to our assurance, relevant final outputs from Internal Audit, the Digital Assurance Office and Portfolio, Programme and Project Assurance have been shared between our assurance teams.

3. Audit Activities

3.1. The below table sets out the delivery and outcomes of relevant activities carried out over the past year.

3.2. Table 1: Audit Activities 2024-25

Category	Title	Outcome/Recommendations	
Assurance Review	Mailroom	Limited - 2 high, 7 medium and 2 low	
Assurance Review	Data Sharing and Data Governance	Limited - 2 high, 6 medium and 1 low	
Assurance Review	Electronic Purchase Card Usage	Reasonable - 2 medium and 3 low	
Assurance Review	GP Payments	Limited - 1 high, 5 medium and 1 low	
Assurance Review	Learning and Development –	Reasonable - 4 medium	
7.05drdrioe review	External/Procured Learning Spend	Reasonable 4 medium	
Assurance Review	Complaints	Reasonable - 1 high, 5 medium and	
7.65414116511611	Complainte	4 low	
Assurance Review	Performance Management	Reasonable - 3 medium	
Assurance Review	Business Continuity Resilience	TBC – fieldwork ongoing	
Assurance Review	Governance – Portfolio Sponsorship	TBC - Deferred to Q2 2025/2026	
7.050rarioc review	Arrangements		
Assurance Review	Recruitment	(Emerging) Substantial - 1 medium	
Assurance Review Quality		Reasonable - 5 medium	

Assurance Review	Case Reviews – Scheduled and Unscheduled	(Emerging) Reasonable - 5 medium
Assurance Review	Automation in Benefit Administration	TBC – draft report in progress
Assurance Review	Client Services Delivery Compliance	Reasonable - No recommendations made
Assurance Review	Transition – Programme Closure	TBC – draft report in progress
Assurance Review	VFM – Fiscal Sustainability – Change Savings Work	(Emerging) Reasonable - 2 medium
Assurance Review	People Survey Outcomes	Cancelled – this review was replaced by the Learning and Development review
Assurance Review	Travel and Subsistence	Cancelled – this review was replaced by the Governance – Sponsorship Arrangements review
	Client Services Delivery/Health and	
Advisory Review	Client Services Delivery/Health and Social Care Revised ways of Working Pilot	Cancelled at request of management
Advisory Review	External Stakeholder Relations	Cancelled at request of management
Advisory Review	Error Control Working Group	Ongoing attendance at ECWG
Advisory Review	Internal Control Steering Group	Ongoing Attendance at ICSG
Advisory Review	Budget Setting Assumptions	Consideration of the assumptions used for budget setting and how management ensure these are

		appropriate and support planning and
		decision making
Advisory Poviow	Protoctive Security	Advisory in relation to the protective
Advisory Review	Protective Security	security arrangements.
		Focussed on the Project
		Management Office and reviewing
		their ways of working in relation to the
Advisory Review	Business Plan Initiatives	Business Plan initiatives and the
		project management activities in
		operation to support the delivery of
		these
Advisory Review	3 Lines of Defence	Participation in focus groups and
Advisory Review	3 Lines of Defence	discussions
		Review of arrangements for
Advisory Review	Impact Assessments	completion and consideration of
		Impact Assessments
	Stakeholder Engagement	Review of arrangements for collating
Advisory Review		and actioning feedback from
		stakeholder engagement activities
		Review of the work undertaken by the
	Internal Investigations, Monitoring and Controls	team, the tools and technology and
		ways of working within the team as
Advisory Review		well as considering any future plans
		and objectives for the team and how
		this fits within the three lines of
		defence model
Follow Up	Procurement	3 Medium and 1 Low:
1 onew op	1 Todarement	All fully implemented
		3 High and 2 Medium:
Follow Up	Cyber Security Governance	One fully implemented, four partially
		implemented
		1 High and 1 Medium:
Follow Up	Debt Arrangements	One fully implemented one partially
		implemented
		1

		3 High and 1 Medium:
Follow Up	Internal Controls - Post Award Controls	Two fully implemented and two
•		partially implemented
		2 Medium and 1 Low:
Follow Up	Integration Space	One not implemented and one
		partially implemented
Follow Up	Productivity and Efficiency	Deferred – 2 Medium
		2 Medium and 3 Low:
Follow Up	Governance	One fully implemented, two partially
		implemented
Collow Up	Winter Heating Panafita	2 High and 3 Medium:
Follow Up	Winter Heating Benefits	All fully implemented
		1 High, 4 Medium and 2 Low:
Follow Up	Risk Management	Three fully implemented and two
		partially implemented
	Child Disability Payment In death	2 High, 4 Medium and 1 Low:
Follow Up	Child Disability Payment In-depth Review	Two fully implemented and four
		partially implemented
	SPM Management Information and	3 High:
Follow Up	SPM Management Information and Reporting	Two partially implemented, one not
		implemented
	Client Experience – Disability and	3 Medium and 2 Low:
Follow Up	Carers Benefits Re-determinations and	Two fully implemented, one partially
	Appeals	implemented
		5 High and 2 Medium:
		One fully implemented and five
Follow Up	Case Transfers	partially implemented. Management
		opted to tolerate the risk for the
		remaining recommendation
Follow Up	Continuous Improvement	1 High and 3 Medium:
i ollow op	Continuous improvement	Follow up Deferred
Follow Up	Safeguarding	4 High and 4 Medium:
I Ollow Op	Jaieguarung	Follow Up Deferred
Assurance Review	SG Shared Services	TBC

Annex A – Overarching Assurance Landscape



Landscape Key Categories	Examples of Evidence taken into consideration
Nature of Internal Audit work	<u>Table 1</u> activities
completed	Planned assurance and advisory coverage was kept under
	regular review to ensure this reflected changes in the risk
	landscape faced by Social Security Scotland, resulting in the
	changes to our planned activity – these were discussed and
	agreed with Senior Management, noted by the Audit and
	Assurance Committee and highlighted in Table 1.
	In most cases recommendations made by Internal Audit were
	accepted by management, with appropriate actions planned
	and timescales for implementation
	There were some instances where, due to priorities
	management opted to tolerate the risk. Management advised
	that work is ongoing to capture such risks and ensure these are
	managed effectively and actions taken forward when priorities
44	allow.

•	Twelve follow ups were completed during the audit year. From
	our independent review of progress made with agreed
	recommendations we were able to confirm that in most cases
	recommendations had been either fully implemented or partially
	implemented. There were some follow-ups which were
	deferred, this was generally due to change of priorities meaning
	planned actions had not yet been taken. Follow ups for these
	reviews are now scheduled for 2025-26 so we can provide
	independent assurance that management are taking necessary
	action to manage and mitigate risks identified through our
	Internal Audit activities.

Governance, Risk and Compliance

- There have been changes Executive Team membership including the addition of the 'Chief Operating Officer' role.
- Client Services Delivery and Health and Social Care division underwent a major restructure towards the end of 2024-25 - the effectiveness/impact of these changes are yet to be realised and will be considered for 2025-26 assurance opinion activity.
- Deputy Director of Finance and Corporate Services has been appointed on temporary with substantive post-holder on temporary secondment.
- With the closure of the Social Security Programme, work is ongoing to transfer key staff/teams to Social Security Scotland's organisational structure. Plans are being developed to determined how this will play out over the course of the next financial year.
- Social Security Scotland has continued to develop the approach
 to Strategic Risk Management with the new Strategic Risk
 Register in operation and fully populated, the Risk Review
 Group is fully established and operational, the Executive Team's
 involvement in risk management has also improved and there is
 clear evidence from our work of the consideration of risk as part
 of business planning and decision making.
- Risk Tolerance levels and Risk Appetite statements have now also been documented in relation to strategic risk.

	1	
	•	The Strategic Risk Register and supporting documents are
		provided as a standing agenda item for each Audit and
		Assurance Committee meeting and through this we witness
		effective scrutiny and challenge of the risk management
		activities.
External factors	•	The proximity of Programme Closure is nearing and this is a
		focus of activity across Social Security Scotland. Work on
		Transition was put on hold in Autumn 2024 and focus was
		instead on development of the Future Operating Model for
		Social Security Scotland.
	•	Social Security Scotland's dependency on external parties such
		as the Scottish Government Shared Services, Social Security
		Directorate, Scottish Government Policy and Legal colleagues
		and the Department for Work and Pensions remains. As
		acknowledged last year, Social Security Scotland do not always
		have autonomy to mitigate risks themselves, however we were
		able to highlight good practice in terms of engagement and
		joined up working in some instances. From a number of our
		reviews however we did identify areas where there was lack of
		clarity on the role and responsibilities of Social Security
		Scotland and each of these other organisations.
		Recommendations were raised for management to continue to
		build and work with relevant organisations in order to minimise
		the risk that is posed to Social Security Scotland.
Other Assurance	•	We have liaised regularly with colleagues in Audit Scotland who
		provide the External Audit service. We have also shared with
		them the output of all our assurance work
	•	Gateway Reviews and work undertaken by the Digital
		,
		Assurance Office still continue to primarily focus on Social
		Security Directorate, but Social Security Scotland has
		participated where appropriate.
	•	The Digital Assurance Office colleagues are continuing to
4		engage with Social Security Scotland to identify where digital
		assurance activities will be required. This includes both activity

	arising from the transition from the Social Security Directorate to
	Social Security Scotland but also consideration of the emerging
	pipeline of projects owned and delivered by Social Security
	Scotland.
Stakeholder Engagement	Social Security Scotland has continued with its focus on
Stationordor Engagoment	developing a strong positive culture across the organisation.
	 The vision and values of the organisation are embedded in its
	strategies, policies and ways of working
	Management can take time to provide responses to draft reports with completed management actions, this is likely due to
	reports with completed management actions, this is likely due to
	the route to getting such responses agreed with various stages
	of input and review. However reports are well received and
	management actions agreed.
	Colleagues across Social Security Scotland continue to engage positively with Internal Audit. This includes engagement on
	positively with Internal Audit. This includes engagement on
	specific assurance work as well as advisory and ad hoc
	discussions.
	We have continued with our established schedule of
	engagement between Internal Audit and various teams
	including Client Services Delivery, Fraud and Error Resolution
	Unit, Corporate Assurance, Governance, Risk, etc
Control Environment	Through our accurages advisory and follow up activities we
Control Environment	Through our assurance, advisory and follow up activities we
	have continued to highlight both good practice and areas for
	improvement in relation to systems, processes and controls,
	making recommendations where appropriate to improve these.
	Social Security Scotland's Internal Controls team has continued
	to progress with mapping out, by benefit, the systems,
	processes and controls, flagging where there are any gaps and
	risks in relation to control gaps. Output from this has been
	shared with Internal Audit via attendance at the Internal Controls
	Steering Group.
4 .	The work across Client Services Delivery and Fraud and Error
	Resolution Unit continued in order to identify error trends and

	the root cause with action taken where possible to
	manage/mitigate such risk areas.
•	Work continues on Monetary Value of Fraud and Error. The
	legislation required to engage with clients in relation tot his is
	still progress and as such the focus remains on the Official
	Error.

Annex B – Definition of Assurance Categories

Substantial Assurance	Risk, governance and control
	procedures are effective in supporting
Controls are robust and well managed	the delivery of any related objectives.
	Any exposure to potential weakness is
	low and the materiality of any
	consequent risk is negligible.
Reasonable Assurance	Some improvements are required to
	enhance the adequacy and
Controls are adequate but require	effectiveness of procedures. There are
improvement	weaknesses in the risk, governance
	and/or control procedures in place but
	not of a significant nature
Limited Assurance	There are weaknesses in the current
	risk, governance and/or control
Controls are developing but weak	procedures that either do, or could,
	affect the delivery of any related
	objectives. Exposure to the weaknesses
	identified is moderate and being
	mitigated.
Insufficient Assurance	There are significant weaknesses in the
	current risk, governance and/or control
Controls are not acceptable and have	procedures, to the extent that the
notable weaknesses	delivery of objectives is at risk.
	Exposure to the weaknesses identified
	is sizeable and requires urgent
	mitigating action.